

F. COMMENTS ON THE FORMAT

Box I.

Please provide below recommendations on how to improve this reporting format.

- The reporting format is too long. Providing space for text under almost each question is very extensive. A lot of information is repetitive, especially in sections B and D (repeated from section C, the perceived "main body" of the report).
- Similarly the boxes requesting answers on: (a) Outcomes and impacts; (b) Strategic Plan of the Convention; (c) 2010 Target; (d) NBSAP; (e) MDGs; and (f) Constraints are very complicated.
- The cross-tabs under paras 2 and 147 are very complicated and almost meaningless if filled in without applying a systematic/empirical method to undertaking such a rating. We recommend removing these sections in the future.
- Cross references to the second report should have been made especially for those questions that establish the "timeline". As several questions marked as "repetition from 2nd NR" were not using the exact same wording and did not allow for same categories of answers it was not always unequivocally clear how to rank the answers in the 3rd NR in comparison to the 2nd NR.
- It was not possible to insert "x" in all blank spaces (especially see section on Marine and coastal and Mountain biodiversity).

- - - - -